



March 11, 2021

The Honorable Dave Min  
Member, California State Senate  
State Capitol, Room 2048  
Sacramento, CA 95814

**RE: Senate Bill 264 – OPPOSE  
As Amended February 24, 2021**

Dear Senator Min:

On behalf of the Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC), I regret to inform you of our opposition to your Senate Bill 264, which would prohibit the sale of firearms on any state- and county-owned property. While RCRC generally does not engage on legislation dealing with firearms, we believe our involvement on this particular bill is warranted. It should also be noted that we were concerned about SB 264 prior to the February 24th amendments; however, the inclusion of county-owned property is deeply concerning.

RCRC is an association of thirty-seven rural California counties, and the RCRC Board of Directors is comprised of elected supervisors from each member county. The health and viability of each county's local fair and fairgrounds – whether state-owned/operated or county-owned - is a high priority of our member counties. These fairs and fairgrounds are an integral asset to many counties throughout the state, particularly in rural California.

Over the past two decades, District Agriculture Association (DAA)/county fairs have been financially struggling and are at a near breaking point. Prior to 2009-10, funding support for fairs was generated through pari-mutuel horse racing licensing fees. In 2009-2010, this funding source was replaced by a General Fund appropriation, which was subsequently eliminated in 2011-2012. After several years of financial neglect, the Legislature enacted Assembly Bill 1499 (Gray; 2017). AB 1499 requires the state's portion of sales and use tax received within the property of a state-designated fair to be transferred to the Fair and Exposition Fund in support of facility improvements at fairgrounds.

1215 K Street, Suite 1650, Sacramento, CA 95814 | [www.rcrcnet.org](http://www.rcrcnet.org) | 916.447.4806 | Fax: 916.448.3154

The Honorable Dave Min  
Senate Bill 264  
March 11, 2021  
Page 2


California's fairgrounds play a major role in the economies of the communities/counties where they are located. Beyond the annual "fair" event filled with corndogs and Ferris wheels, fair facilities host hundreds of events year-round. These facilities are home to various events such as gun shows, dog shows, RV shows, bridal shows, and other retail opportunities. SB 264 would prohibit these fairs from holding gun shows and as a result erode the revenue stream that would be derived from legal gun shows on these premises.

Firearms and firearm components are legal products that are already heavily regulated at both the federal and state level. As such, we do not see why the sale of a firearm and firearm components should be prohibited from state- or county-owned properties, especially on fairgrounds. Throughout the nation, there has been no evidence of mass shootings that have occurred which were a result of the weapon being obtained improperly at a county fairground property. We also do not see how such restrictions would impede gun violence. Quite frankly, SB 264 simply creates winners and losers in the retail firearm industry, and would drive firearm consumers to other retailers, including those that operate out-of-state.

Finally, we do not believe that a statewide approach is warranted. We believe each DAA or county-operated fair should be able to make the decision on when, what, and how retail formats occur at each property. The inclusion of a prohibition on the sale of an otherwise legal product on county-owned property, primarily on county fairgrounds, can only be seen as an intrusion into local authority that should be solely determined by the elected county officials. Additionally, we suggest putting a pause in applying restrictions on gun shows on state-owned DAA property for reasons outlined above.

For these reasons, we must respectfully oppose SB 264. If you should have any questions or concerns, please contact me at [psmith@rcrcnet.org](mailto:psmith@rcrcnet.org) or (916) 447-4806.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Paul A. Smith". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

PAUL A. SMITH  
Senior Vice President Governmental Affairs

cc: Members of the Senate Public Safety Committee  
Consultant, Senate Public Safety Committee  
Eric Csizmar, Consultant, Senate Republican Caucus



March 25, 2021

The Honorable Mike McGuire  
Chair, Senate Governance & Finance Committee  
State Capitol, Room 5061  
Sacramento, CA 95814

**RE: Senate Bill 264 (Min) – OPPOSE  
As Amended February 24, 2021**

Dear Senator McGuire:

On behalf of the Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC), I regret to inform you of our opposition to Senate Bill 264, authored by Senator Dave Min, which would prohibit the sale of firearms on any state- and county-owned property. While RCRC generally does not engage on legislation dealing with firearms, we believe our involvement on this particular bill is warranted.

RCRC is an association of thirty-seven rural California counties, and the RCRC Board of Directors is comprised of elected supervisors from each member county. The health and viability of each county's local fair and fairgrounds – whether state-owned/operated or county-owned - is a high priority of our member counties. These fairs and fairgrounds are an integral asset to many counties throughout the state, particularly in rural California.

Over the past two decades, District Agriculture Association (DAA)/county fairs have been financially struggling and are at a near breaking point. Prior to 2009-10, funding support for fairs was generated through pari-mutuel horse racing licensing fees. In 2009-2010, this funding source was replaced by a General Fund appropriation, which was subsequently eliminated in 2011-2012. After several years of financial neglect, the Legislature enacted Assembly Bill 1499 (Gray; 2017). AB 1499 requires the state's portion of sales and use tax received within the property of a state-designated fair to be transferred to the Fair and Exposition Fund in support of facility improvements at fairgrounds.

California's fairgrounds play a major role in the economies of the communities/counties where they are located. Beyond the annual "fair" event filled with corndogs and Ferris wheels, fair facilities host hundreds of events year-round. These

1215 K Street, Suite 1650, Sacramento, CA 95814 | [www.rcrcnet.org](http://www.rcrcnet.org) | 916.447.4806 | Fax: 916.448.3154

The Honorable Mike McGuire  
Senate Bill 264  
March 25, 2021  
Page 2


facilities are home to various events such as gun shows, dog shows, RV shows, bridal shows, and other retail opportunities. SB 264 would prohibit these fairs from holding gun shows and as a result erode the revenue stream that would be derived from legal gun shows on these premises.

Firearms and firearm components are legal products that are already heavily regulated at both the federal and state level. As such, we do not see why the sale of a firearm and firearm components should be prohibited from state- or county-owned properties, especially on fairgrounds. Throughout the nation, there has been no evidence of mass shootings that have occurred which were a result of the weapon being obtained improperly at a county fairground property. We also do not see how such restrictions would impede gun violence. Quite frankly, SB 264 simply creates winners and losers in the retail firearm industry, and would drive firearm consumers to other retailers, including those that operate out-of-state.

Finally, we do not believe that a statewide approach is warranted. We believe each DAA or county-operated fair should be able to make the decision on when, what, and how retail formats occur at each property. The inclusion of a prohibition on the sale of an otherwise legal product on county-owned property, primarily on county fairgrounds, can only be seen as an intrusion into local authority that should be solely determined by the elected county officials. Additionally, we suggest putting a pause in applying restrictions on gun shows on state-owned DAA property for reasons outlined above.

For these reasons, RCRC respectfully requests your 'No' vote when this measure is heard before your Committee. .

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Paul A. Smith". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name and title.

PAUL A. SMITH  
Senior Vice President Governmental Affairs

cc: The Honorable Dave Min, Member of the State Senate  
Members of the Senate Governance & Finance Committee  
Consultant, Senate Governance & Finance Committee  
Ryan Eisberg, Consultant, Senate Republican Caucus



April 23, 2021

The Honorable Anthony Portantino  
Chair, Senate Appropriations Committee  
State Capitol, Room 5050  
Sacramento, CA 95814

**RE: Senate Bill 264 (Min) – OPPOSE  
As Amended April 19, 2021**

Dear Senator Portantino:

On behalf of the Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC), I regret to inform you of our opposition to Senate Bill 264, authored by Senator Dave Min, which would prohibit the sale of firearms on any state-owned property. While RCRC generally does not engage on legislation dealing with firearms, we believe our involvement on this particular bill is warranted.

RCRC is an association of thirty-seven rural California counties, and the RCRC Board of Directors is comprised of elected supervisors from each member county. The health and viability of each county's local fair and fairgrounds – whether state-owned/operated or county-owned - is a high priority of our member counties. These fairs and fairgrounds are an integral asset to many counties throughout the state, particularly in rural California. While we appreciate recent amendments to the bill excluding county-owned property from its provisions, the inclusion of all state property is still problematic for many of our members.

Over the past two decades, District Agriculture Association (DAA)/county fairs have been financially struggling and are at a near breaking point. Prior to 2009-10, funding support for fairs was generated through pari-mutuel horse racing licensing fees. In 2009-2010, this funding source was replaced by a General Fund appropriation, which was subsequently eliminated in 2011-2012. After several years of financial neglect, the Legislature enacted Assembly Bill 1499 (Gray; 2017). AB 1499 requires the state's portion of sales and use tax received within the property of a state-designated fair to be transferred to the Fair and Exposition Fund in support of facility improvements at fairgrounds.

1215 K Street, Suite 1650, Sacramento, CA 95814 | [www.rcrcnet.org](http://www.rcrcnet.org) | 916.447.4806 | Fax: 916.448.3154

The Honorable Anthony Portantino  
Senate Bill 264  
April 23, 2021  
Page 2

California's fairgrounds play a major role in the economies of the communities/counties where they are located. Beyond the annual "fair" event filled with corndogs and Ferris wheels, fair facilities host hundreds of events year-round. These facilities are home to various events such as gun shows, dog shows, RV shows, bridal shows, and other retail opportunities. SB 264 would prohibit these fairs from holding gun shows and as a result erode the revenue stream that would be derived from legal gun shows on these premises.

Firearms and firearm components are legal products that are already heavily regulated at both the federal and state level. As such, we do not see why the sale of a firearm and firearm components should be prohibited from state- -owned properties, especially on fairgrounds. Throughout the nation, there has been no evidence of mass shootings that have occurred which were a result of the weapon being obtained improperly at a county fairground property. We also do not see how such restrictions would impede gun violence. Quite frankly, SB 264 simply creates winners and losers in the retail firearm industry, and would drive firearm consumers to other retailers, including those that operate out-of-state.

Finally, we do not believe that a statewide approach is warranted. We believe each DAA -operated fair should be able to make the decision on when, what, and how retail formats occur at each property. The inclusion of a prohibition on the sale of an otherwise legal product on county-owned property, primarily on county fairgrounds, can only be seen as an intrusion into local authority that should be solely determined by the elected county officials. We suggest putting a pause in applying restrictions on gun shows on state-owned DAA property for reasons outlined above.

For these reasons, RCRC respectfully requests your 'No' vote when this measure is heard before your Committee. If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at [sheaton@rcrcnet.org](mailto:sheaton@rcrcnet.org) or (916) 447-4806.

Sincerely,



STACI HEATON  
Acting Vice President Governmental Affairs

cc: The Honorable Dave Min, Member of the State Senate  
Members of the Senate Appropriations Committee  
Consultant, Senate Appropriations Committee



## FLOOR ALERT

**To:** Members, California State Senate  
**From:** Staci Heaton, Acting Vice President Governmental Affairs  
**Date:** May 25, 2021  
**Re:** **Senate Bill 264 (Min) – OPPOSE**

---

On behalf of the Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC), I regret to inform you of our opposition to Senate Bill 264, authored by Senator Dave Min, which would prohibit the sale of firearms on any state-owned property. RCRC is an association of thirty-seven rural California counties, and the RCRC Board of Directors is comprised of elected supervisors from each member county.

Over the past two decades, District Agriculture Association (DAA)/county fairs have been financially struggling and are at a near breaking point. Prior to 2009-10, funding support for fairs was generated through pari-mutuel horse racing licensing fees. In 2009-2010, this funding source was replaced by a General Fund appropriation, which was subsequently eliminated in 2011-2012. After several years of financial neglect, the Legislature enacted Assembly Bill 1499 (Gray; 2017). AB 1499 requires the state's portion of sales and use tax received within the property of a state-designated fair to be transferred to the Fair and Exposition Fund in support of facility improvements at fairgrounds.

SB 264 would prohibit these fairs from holding gun shows and as a result erode the revenue stream that would be derived from legal gun shows on these premises. Firearms and firearm components are legal products that are already heavily regulated at both the federal and state level. As such, we do not see why the sale of a firearm and firearm components should be prohibited from state-owned properties, especially on fairgrounds. Throughout the nation, there has been no evidence of mass shootings that have occurred which were a result of the weapon being obtained improperly at a county fairground property. We also do not see how such restrictions would impede gun violence. Quite frankly, SB 264 simply creates winners and losers in the retail firearm industry, and would drive firearm consumers to other retailers, including those that operate out-of-state.

Finally, we do not believe that a statewide approach is warranted. We believe each DAA-operated fair should be able to make the decision on when, what, and how retail formats occur at each property. The inclusion of a prohibition on the sale of an otherwise legal product on county-owned property, primarily on county fairgrounds, can only be seen as an intrusion into local authority that should be solely determined by the elected county officials. We suggest putting a pause in applying restrictions on gun shows on state-owned DAA property for reasons outlined above.

For the reasons stated, RCRC respectfully requests your 'Aye' vote when the bill is heard on the Senate Floor.



June 22, 2021

The Honorable Reginald Jones-Sawyer  
Chair, Assembly Public Safety Committee  
State Capitol, Room 2117  
Sacramento, CA 95814

**RE: Senate Bill 264 (Min) – OPPOSE  
As Amended June 15, 2021**

Dear Assembly Member Jones-Sawyer:

On behalf of the Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC), I regret to inform you of our opposition to Senate Bill 264, authored by Senator Dave Min, which would prohibit the sale of firearms on any state-owned property. While RCRC generally does not engage on legislation dealing with firearms, we believe our involvement on this particular bill is warranted.

RCRC is an association of thirty-seven rural California counties, and the RCRC Board of Directors is comprised of elected supervisors from each member county. The health and viability of each county's local fair and fairgrounds – whether state-owned/operated or county-owned - is a high priority of our member counties. These fairs and fairgrounds are an integral asset to many counties throughout the state, particularly in rural California. While we appreciate recent amendments to the bill excluding county-owned property from its provisions, the inclusion of all state property is still problematic for many of our members.

Over the past two decades, District Agriculture Association (DAA)/county fairs have been financially struggling and are at a near breaking point. Prior to 2009-10, funding support for fairs was generated through pari-mutuel horse racing licensing fees. In 2009-2010, this funding source was replaced by a General Fund appropriation, which was subsequently eliminated in 2011-2012. After several years of financial neglect, the Legislature enacted Assembly Bill 1499 (Gray; 2017). AB 1499 requires the state's portion of sales and use tax received within the property of a state-designated fair to be transferred to the Fair and Exposition Fund in support of facility improvements at fairgrounds.

1215 K Street, Suite 1650, Sacramento, CA 95814 | [www.rcrcnet.org](http://www.rcrcnet.org) | 916.447.4806 | Fax: 916.448.3154

California's fairgrounds play a major role in the economies of the communities/counties where they are located. Beyond the annual "fair" event filled with corndogs and Ferris wheels, fair facilities host hundreds of events year-round. These facilities are home to various events such as gun shows, dog shows, RV shows, bridal shows, and other retail opportunities. SB 264 would prohibit these fairs from holding gun shows and as a result erode the revenue stream that would be derived from legal gun shows on these premises.

Firearms and firearm components are legal products that are already heavily regulated at both the federal and state level. As such, we do not see why the sale of a firearm and firearm components should be prohibited from state- -owned properties, especially on fairgrounds. Throughout the nation, there has been no evidence of mass shootings that have occurred which were a result of the weapon being obtained improperly at a county fairground property. We also do not see how such restrictions would impede gun violence. Quite frankly, SB 264 simply creates winners and losers in the retail firearm industry, and would drive firearm consumers to other retailers, including those that operate out-of-state.

Finally, we do not believe that a statewide approach is warranted. We believe each DAA -operated fair should be able to make the decision on when, what, and how retail formats occur at each property. The inclusion of a prohibition on the sale of an otherwise legal product on county-owned property, primarily on county fairgrounds, can only be seen as an intrusion into local authority that should be solely determined by the elected county officials. We suggest putting a pause in applying restrictions on gun shows on state-owned DAA property for reasons outlined above.

For these reasons, RCRC respectfully requests your 'No' vote when this measure is heard before your Committee. If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at [sheaton@rcrcnet.org](mailto:sheaton@rcrcnet.org) or (916) 447-4806.

Sincerely,



STACI HEATON  
Senior Regulatory Affairs Advocate

cc: The Honorable Dave Min, Member of the State Senate  
The Honorable Josh Becker, Member of the State Senate  
The Honorable Steven Glazer, Member of the State Senate  
The Honorable Monique Limon, Member of the State Senate  
The Honorable Scott Wiener, Member of the State Senate  
Members of the Assembly Public Safety Committee  
Consultant, Assembly Public Safety Committee



July 15, 2021

The Honorable Lorena Gonzalez  
Chair, Assembly Appropriations Committee  
State Capitol, Room 2114  
Sacramento, CA 95814

**RE: Senate Bill 264 (Min) – OPPOSE  
As Amended June 15, 2021**

Dear Assembly Member Gonzalez:

On behalf of the Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC), I regret to inform you of our opposition to Senate Bill 264, authored by Senator Dave Min, which would prohibit the sale of firearms on any state-owned property. While RCRC generally does not engage on legislation dealing with firearms, we believe our involvement on this particular bill is warranted.

RCRC is an association of thirty-seven rural California counties, and the RCRC Board of Directors is comprised of elected supervisors from each member county. The health and viability of each county's local fair and fairgrounds – whether state-owned/operated or county-owned - is a high priority of our member counties. These fairs and fairgrounds are an integral asset to many counties throughout the state, particularly in rural California. While we appreciate recent amendments to the bill excluding county-owned property from its provisions, the inclusion of all state property is still problematic for many of our members.

Over the past two decades, District Agriculture Association (DAA)/county fairs have been financially struggling and are at a near breaking point. Prior to 2009-10, funding support for fairs was generated through pari-mutuel horse racing licensing fees. In 2009-2010, this funding source was replaced by a General Fund appropriation, which was subsequently eliminated in 2011-2012. After several years of financial neglect, the Legislature enacted Assembly Bill 1499 (Gray; 2017). AB 1499 requires the state's portion of sales and use tax received within the property of a state-designated fair to be transferred to the Fair and Exposition Fund in support of facility improvements at fairgrounds.

1215 K Street, Suite 1650, Sacramento, CA 95814 | [www.rcrcnet.org](http://www.rcrcnet.org) | 916.447.4806 | Fax: 916.448.3154

The Honorable Lorena Gonzalez  
Senate Bill 264  
July 15, 2021  
Page 2

California's fairgrounds play a major role in the economies of the communities/counties where they are located. Beyond the annual "fair" event filled with corndogs and Ferris wheels, fair facilities host hundreds of events year-round. These facilities are home to various events such as gun shows, dog shows, RV shows, bridal shows, and other retail opportunities. SB 264 would prohibit these fairs from holding gun shows and as a result erode the revenue stream that would be derived from legal gun shows on these premises.

Firearms and firearm components are legal products that are already heavily regulated at both the federal and state level. As such, we do not see why the sale of a firearm and firearm components should be prohibited from state- -owned properties, especially on fairgrounds. Throughout the nation, there has been no evidence of mass shootings that have occurred which were a result of the weapon being obtained improperly at a county fairground property. We also do not see how such restrictions would impede gun violence. Quite frankly, SB 264 simply creates winners and losers in the retail firearm industry, and would drive firearm consumers to other retailers, including those that operate out-of-state.

Finally, we do not believe that a statewide approach is warranted. We believe each DAA -operated fair should be able to make the decision on when, what, and how retail formats occur at each property. The inclusion of a prohibition on the sale of an otherwise legal product on county-owned property, primarily on county fairgrounds, can only be seen as an intrusion into local authority that should be solely determined by the elected county officials. We suggest putting a pause in applying restrictions on gun shows on state-owned DAA property for reasons outlined above.

For these reasons, RCRC respectfully requests your 'No' vote when this measure is heard before your Committee. If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at [sheaton@rcrcnet.org](mailto:sheaton@rcrcnet.org) or (916) 447-4806.

Sincerely,



STACI HEATON  
Senior Regulatory Affairs Advocate

cc: The Honorable Dave Min, Member of the State Senate  
The Honorable Josh Becker, Member of the State Senate  
The Honorable Steven Glazer, Member of the State Senate  
The Honorable Monique Limon, Member of the State Senate  
The Honorable Scott Wiener, Member of the State Senate  
Members of the Assembly Appropriations Committee

The Honorable Lorena Gonzalez  
Senate Bill 264  
July 15, 2021  
Page 3

Consultant, Assembly Appropriations Committee